|  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                       |
|--|--|------------------------------------|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary   | 09/973,263   | DEVORE ET AL.                      |
|  | Examiner   | Art Unit                           |
|  | Maury Audet  | 1654                               |
| All Participants:  | Status of Application:                                       |                                    |
| (1) Maury Audet.   | (3)  |                                    |
| (2) Steve Holmes, Attorney for Applicant.  | (4)  |                                    |
| Date of Interview: <u>15 March 2004</u>  | Time:  |                                    |
| Type of Interview:   | cant's representative)                                       |                                    |
| Part I.  |  |                                    |
| Rejection(s) discussed:  NA  |  |                                    |
| Claims discussed: All.   |  |                                    |
| Prior art documents discussed: Related pending applications.   |  |                                    |
| Part II.   |  |                                    |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN<br>See Continuation Sheet  | ERAL NATURE OF WHAT V  | VAS DISCUSSED:                     |
| Part III.  |  |                                    |
| <ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summ</li> </ul> | the examiner will provide a water record of the substance of | the interview, since the interview |
|  |  |                                    |
|  |  |                                    |
|  |  |                                    |
|  |  |                                    |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applica  | ant/Applicant's Representativ                                | e Signature – if appropriate)      |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment to claims 4-6 was proposed to Attorney, which was accepted. Additionally, the Examiner noted that provisional non-statutory obvious-type double-patenting rejections over related co-pending applications 09/973,385 and 09/973,332 were inadvertently omitted in the Office Action of 05/06/2003. Attorney agreed to Fax Terminal Disclaimers over both pending applications.